#### Before Dr. Sajid Qureshi, Executive Director (Company Law)

#### *In the matter of*

## M/s. Quality Steel Works Limited

(Under Sub-section (3) of Section 245 of the Companies Ordinance, 1984)

No. & date of show cause notice EMD/Enf-II/492/2005

Dated November 25, 2005

Date of hearing April 27, 2006

Present No one appeared

Date of Order May 03, 2006

## **Order**

This Order shall dispose of the proceedings initiated against the directors of M/s. Quality Steel Works Limited (hereinafter referred to as the "Company") for default made in complying with the provisions of Sub-section (1) of Section 245 of the Companies Ordinance, 1984 (the "Ordinance").

2. The facts leading to this case, briefly stated, are that in terms of the provisions of Section 245 of the Ordinance, the Company was required to prepare and transmit to the members and simultaneously file with the Registrar and the Commission its quarterly accounts for the 1<sup>st</sup> quarter ended September 30, 2005 by October 31, 2005. Failure of the Company to comply with the aforesaid mandatory requirements within the prescribed time necessitated action against the responsible directors of the Company in terms of Sub-section (3) of Section 245 of the Ordinance. Consequently, a show cause notice dated November 25, 2005 was served on all the directors including the Chief Executive of the Company calling upon them to show cause as to why penalties as provided under Sub-section (3) of Section 245 read with Section 476 of the Ordinance may not be imposed on them for the aforesaid

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION OF PAKISTAN

Enforcement Department

Continuation Sheet -3 -

contravention. The said show cause notice was responded by Mr. Mansoor A. Sheikh,

Advocate High Court on behalf of the Chief Executive and directors of the Company who

requested vide his letter dated January 27, 2006 to allow him three weeks time to submit

written reply to the show cause notice. He also requested to allow him to make oral

submissions before the Commission. In order to provide an opportunity of personal hearing as

requested by the representative of the directors, the matter was fixed for February 06, 2006.

Nobody appeared for hearing on the given date and time, however, Mr. Mansoor A. Sheikh

again requested vide his letter dated March 24, 2006 to provide another opportunity of

hearing.

3. To afford another opportunity the matter was again fixed for April 27, 2006 at

Karachi. Again nobody attended the hearing instead a written reply was received from Mr.

Mansoor wherein it was contended that due to high blood pressure his doctor has advised him

rest for one week, therefore, he would not be able to attend the hearing fixed for April 27,

2006. He requested for adjournment of hearing for two weeks. The request was examined but

not found cogent because the directors of the Company had already been provided two

opportunities which they failed to avail. This leads me to believe that the Chief Executive and

directors of the Company have nothing in their defence, and they are deliberately delaying the

matter and avoiding appearance in the hearing. Therefore, I proceed to adjudicate this case on

its merits.

4. Before proceeding to decide this case, I consider it necessary to highlight the

importance of the strict observance of the aforesaid mandatory provisions of the law. The

protection of the investors/shareholders is one of the primary objectives of the Ordinance. It

is investors/shareholders who provide seed for capital formation. If the interest of the

investors is protected, they will save and invest more. Their interest is protected by

transmission of timely, adequate and meaningful information to them. It is the annual and

interim accounts, which provide information to the investors about the affairs of the

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION OF PAKISTAN

Enforcement Department

Continuation Sheet -2-

companies. It has unfortunately been noted that the directors of M/s. Quality Steel Works

Limited are not observing these compulsory requirements of law.

5. From the aforesaid discussion, it is clear that the directors and Chief Executive have

intentionally avoided appearance before me inspite of the fact that to facilitate them, the final

hearing was fixed at Karachi. It was also clarified to the directors of the company vide this

Commission's hearing notice dated April 20, 2006 that in case they fail to appear for hearing

on April 27, 2006, the matter will be decided on merit in accordance with law on the basis of

well settled principals of natural justice and record available on the file. It is also mentioned

that the Company has failed to file the aforesaid quarterly accounts till date even after

issuance of show cause notice. The default, therefore, is considered willful and deliberate. In

the circumstances, it can be legitimately inferred that the Chief Executive and directors have

failed to protect the interest of the shareholders. The aforesaid state of affairs is a cause of

great concern for the Commission.

6. The track record of the Company with regard to filing of quarterly/half yearly

accounts is also not satisfactory. The Company is committing defaults in filing of half yearly

accounts since December, 1999 and in filing of quarterly accounts since March, 2002 for

which the directors were penalized earlier also. It has been noticed that the directors have also

failed to deposit the amount of penalties imposed for the previous defaults. This led me to

believe that the directors have no respect for the law and they have again deprived the

shareholders of their statutory right to receive the quarterly accounts within the prescribed

time limit. The responsibility for preparation/circulation of quarterly accounts rests with the

directors of the Company and they have to take appropriate action at appropriate time. The

repetition of default clearly shows that the Company is not making any serious efforts to

comply with the provisions of the law. For the foregoing reasons, the default under Sub-

section (1) of Section 245 of the Ordinance regarding non-submission of quarterly accounts

for the 1<sup>st</sup> quarter ended September 30, 2005 stands established.



# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION OF PAKISTAN Enforcement Department

Continuation Sheet -3 -

7. However, instead of imposing the maximum fine of Rs.100,000 on every director and a further fine of Rs.1,000 per day for the continuous default, I impose the following penalties on the Chief Executive and the directors of the Company under Sub-section (3) of Section 245 of the Ordinance:-

S. No.	Name	Penalty in Rs.
1.	Mr. Muhammad Ziauddin, Chief Executive	20,000
2.	Mr. Mushtaq Ahmad, Director	20,000
3.	Mr. Salman Ali, Director	20,000
4.	Mr. Ashfaq Ahmed, Director	20,000
5.	Mr. Ismail Mukaddam, Director	20,000
6.	Mr. Muhammad Arif, Director	20,000
7.	Syed Hyder Abbas Zaidi, Director	20,000
	Total	140,000

8. The Chief Executive and directors of M/s. Quality Steel Works Limited are hereby directed to deposit the aforesaid fines aggregating to Rs.140,000/- (Rupees One hundred and forty thousand only) in the designated bank account maintained in the name of Securities and Exchange Commission of Pakistan with Habib Bank Limited within thirty days from the receipt of this Order and furnish receipted vouchers or pay by a DD/pay order issued in the name of Commission for information and record, failing which proceedings under the Land Revenue Act,1967 will be initiated which may result in the attachment and sale of movable and immovable property. It may also be noted that the said penalties are imposed on the Chief Executive and other directors in their personal capacity, therefore, they are required to pay the said amount from their personal resources.

Dr. Sajid Qureshi

Executive Director (Company Law)